



Canada Health Infoway

# Projectathon Tooling

EVS Validator – Expected Errors or Warnings

Projectathon Testing Supplement

# FHIR Validator - Process



- ❖ **CA:FeX Client Simulator:** A web application, based on the Swagger UI, used to simulate CA:FeX conformant clients, with or without IUA authorization.
- ❖ **CA:FeX Server Simulator:** A FHIR server with RESTful APIs used to simulate CA:FeX conformant servers, with or without IUA authorization.

# FHIR Validator - Process



The Canada Health Infoway **FHIR Validator** leverages a FHIR Validation engine, based on the Matchbox Engine (<https://ahdis.github.io/matchbox/validation>), to structurally and semantically verify a FHIR instance against a FHIR profile.

- ❖ Uses loaded FHIR Packages (.tgz files) to look up the rules in selected profiles that a supplied resource or example needs to be validated against
- ❖ Invokes external services (e.g., tx.fhir.org terminology server) to evaluate whether a supplied concept matches against a bound value set or code system
- ❖ Provides the user with a set of information messages, warnings, and/or errors that describe the process and outcome of the validation

# FHIR Validator – Errors & Warnings



- Errors are typically raised when the supplied file does not meet a SHALL rule (e.g., minimum cardinality not met, supplied concept does not belong to required ValueSet)
- Warnings are raised when SHOULD rules are not met (e.g., supplied concept does not belong to a preferred or extensible value set)
- Some errors and warnings result from the validator not being able to properly complete the evaluation against a particular rule –these are referred to in the following slides as Expected Errors/Warnings
- **Regardless of severity – Expected Errors / Warnings described on these slides are not considered test failures**

# Expected Errors/Warnings: Non-Resolvable ValueSets



The FHIR Validator cannot evaluate if a concept meets ValueSet binding expectations on concepts not hosted in tx.fhir.org. See list of non-resolvable value sets in Appendix. The severity of this flagged issue (error vs warning) varies based on the profiled binding strength of ValueSet:

Non-Resolvable ValueSets with Preferred and Extensible Binding Strengths will result in Warnings that are not considered a test failure:

- PS-CA Warning Example - PractitionerSpecialty Binding Preferred: “A definition for CodeSystem '<https://fhir.infoway-inforoute.ca/CodeSystem/scptype>' could not be found, so the code cannot be validated”
- PS-CA Warning Example – Medication Code Binding Preferred: “A definition for CodeSystem '<https://fhir.infoway-inforoute.ca/CodeSystem/canadianclinicaldrugdataset>' could not be found, so the code cannot be validated”

There are currently no ValueSets with Required Binding Strengths that are Non-Resolvable, should this occur, it would result in an Error that would not be considered a test failure.

# Expected Errors/Warnings: Extensible ValueSets



Extensible binding strengths allow for concepts to be provided outside of the bound ValueSet if there is no suitable code in the ValueSet for expressing the concept

The “appropriateness” of this provided code can’t be mechanically determined so warnings are always issued by the validator

- CA:eReC Warning Example – Extensible Binding on Task Business Status: *“None of the codings provided are in the value set 'Task Business Status' (<http://fhir.infoway-inforoute.ca/io/CA-eReC/ValueSet/task-business-status>), and a coding should come from this value set unless it has no suitable code (note that the validator cannot judge what is suitable) (codes = <http://example-system.ca/CodeSystem/test-status#TST>)”*

# Expected Errors/Warnings: Non-Resolvable National Editions & LOINC Display Values



TX.FHIR.ORG is experiencing a bug where SNOMED CT CA Edition concepts are not resolving

This results in two warnings being issued by the validator when display is provided–Warning on the `Bundle.entry[x]` resource of type and a warning on `Bundle.entry[x].resource.code`

- CA:eReC SCT CA Concept Warning: “*Unknown code '7891000087104' in the CodeSystem 'http://snomed.info/sct' version 'http://snomed.info/sct/900000000000207008/version/20240801' line 720, column 10, FHIRPath: Bundle.entry[14].resource/\*Immunization/c718d089-e7bb-47fb-9547-4b56c9d05da8\*/.vaccineCode.coding[0].code*”
- CA:eReC SCT CA Concept Warning: “*Unknown code '7891000087104' in the CodeSystem 'http://snomed.info/sct' version 'http://snomed.info/sct/900000000000207008/version/20240801' line 720, column 10, FHIRPath: Bundle.entry[14].resource.vaccineCode.coding[0].code*”

TX.FHIR.ORG is also experiencing a bug where display values for LOINC are not resolving

- PS-CA LOINC Display Warning: “*There are no valid display names found for the code <http://loinc.org#60591-5> for language(s) 'english'.*”

# Expected Errors/Warnings: Extension Targets



PS-CA leverages PrescriberIT extensions (e.g., <http://prescriberit.ca/fhir/StructureDefinition/ext-rendered-dosage-instruction>) and in some cases applies them to additional resources (i.e., MedicationStatement) that are not claimed in the PrescriberIT guide.

The FHIR Validator currently defaults to the host definition for PrescriberIT extensions and flags and error when <http://prescriberit.ca/fhir/StructureDefinition/ext-rendered-dosage-instruction> is applied to MedicationStatements supplied in Patient Summary testing.

This error is known and does not result in a test failure if experienced during testing.



# Expected Errors/Warnings: Cross-IG Validation



When validating examples against the CA:eReC validator (i.e. , <https://pancanadianio.ca/evs/default/validator.seam?standard=4>) <http://prescribeit.ca/fhir/StructureDefinition/ext-rendered-dosage-instruction>) that claim a different profile in the meta.profile element (e.g., ON-eReC Bundle), the validator will raise a warning/information message indicating the canonical url claimed in the example does not resolve.

- Example: “Canonical URL 'http://ehealthontario.ca/fhir/StructureDefinition/eReferralBundle|1.0.0' does not resolve”

The example will still be checked against the package associated with validator selected (e.g., CA:eReC).

# Appendix



# Non-Resolvable ValueSets



Non-Resolvable ValueSets that will result in Warnings that are not considered a test failure:

- <https://fhir.infoway-inforoute.ca/ValueSet/practitionerspecialty>
- <https://fhir.infoway-inforoute.ca/ValueSet/healthcareproviderroletype>
- <https://fhir.infoway-inforoute.ca/ValueSet/prescriptionmedicinalproduct>

Non-Resolvable ValueSet Warnings will also be issued if test instances include values from local CodeSystems that are not in the .tgz package or tx.fhir.org, these will not be considered a test failure if underlying profile terminology expectations are met



**Canada Health Infoway**

# Thank you!

**To learn more about the Projectathon 2025, visit:**

<https://infoscribe.infoway-inforoute.ca/display/PCI/Scope%3A+Projectathon+2025>

## **Contact Information:**

interoperability@infoway-inforoute.ca

**VISIT OUR WEBSITE**  
infoway-inforoute.ca

**VISIT OUR SURVEY WEBSITE**  
insights.infoway-inforoute.ca/

**LET'S CONNECT ON LINKEDIN**  
linkedin.com/company/canada-health-infoway/

**LET'S CONNECT ON TWITTER**  
@infoway